1	CITY OF ALBANY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY
2	PUBLIC HEARING
3	RE: Park South Partners, LLC,
4	Albany Medical Center - 391 Myrtle Avenue (HOUSING)
5	
6	December 19, 2013
7	200 Henry Johnson Boulevard
8	Albany, New York 12207
9	11:49 a.m.
10	
11	APPEARANCES:
12	ANTHONY J. FERRARA - CHAIRMAN
13	SUSAN PEDO - SECRETARY
14	
15	LEE ECK - MEMBER
16	C. ANTHONY OWENS - MEMBER
17	DARIUS SHAHINFAR - MEMBER
18	MICHAEL J. YEVOLI - CEO
19	ERIK J. SMITH - CFO JOHN REILLY - CORPORATION COUNSEL
20	BRADLEY CHEVALIER - SENIOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPER
21	SARAH REGINELLI - DIRECTOR OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
22	
23	A. JOSEPH SCOTT, III, ESQ COUNSEL

1	MR. YEVOLI: And we'll open up next on
2	the housing project. And these are again, these
3	are both IDA. We are going to have a Capital
4	Resource Corporation hearing, as well.
5	PRESENTATION BY MR. ROSEN: Good
6	morning. My name is Rick Rosen with Columbia
7	Development and I'm here today on behalf of the
8	applicant, Albany Medical Center. We're kind of
9	looking at this as one large project, even though
10	there's, I believe, three separate applications.
11	So I thought if it was okay with the Board, I
12	would just do a quick overview for the entire
13	project, and that way I don't have to come up
14	here for the different explanations.
15	So what we have here are the two blocks
16	located in Park South, adjacent to the Medical
17	Center. The new tower that was just constructed
18	is roughly built here. We have New Scotland,
19	Morris and Dana excuse me, Myrtle, Morris,
20	Dana, New Scotland and Robin in the back. We
21	currently have been working with the Common
22	Council in modifying the original Urban Renewal
23	Plan. There were three amendments to the

original Urban Renewal Plan, which allowed us to do the following:

These two mixed use buildings here will be a combination of retail and residential. They have gone from four stories, which was allowed in the original plan, to six stories. So you can have the first floor is retail, retail, and then you'll have four stories of residential above the retail, making up six stories.

And then moving back, you have five story, 135,000-square-foot medical office billing, which will be owned by Albany Medical Center. Attached to that medical office building will be a roughly six story, 816-car parking structure that will support the parking demand for both blocks, and then around the medical office building, around the parking structure and behind the mixed use building between Morris and Dana, you will have additional residential units which will be three stories in height. We are showing approximately 268 units, so the increase in units from the original Park South urban renewal plan has been accepted and approved by

Τ	the Common Council.
2	So from a process standpoint, we are
3	working now with the IDA on our request that we
4	have three separate applications. We have an
5	application in for the medical office building,
6	we have an application in for the parking
7	structure and then we have an application in for
8	all of the residential units as a whole. So you
9	have two applicants. You have Albany Medical
10	Center, who is the applicant for the MOB and the
11	parking structure and you have Tri City Rental,
12	who is the applicant for the mixed use buildings
13	and the balance of the residential.
14	Anyone have any questions? Okay. I
15	will continue.
16	So our applications before the IDA are
17	for mortgage recording tax, sales tax exemption
18	and also PILOTs for both the medical office
19	building, the parking structure and the
20	residential units.
21	And real quickly, I'm sure everybody in
22	the room has seen a lot of this stuff, but
23	architecturally, that is the new parking

1	structure that has been recently designed and
2	accepted in a bulk study by the Common Council.
3	We look forward to continuing the work on the
4	architecture of that structure with the Planning
5	Department.
6	Here is a visual of what the residential
7	units will look like. This is a view looking
8	north. And, obviously, as we were going through
9	the Common Council process, we had to do a lot of
10	visual studies. This is kind of showing a
11	picture of the existing conditions out there on
12	New Scotland Avenue and imposing new structures.
13	So we've done a lot of work on this project to
14	date.
15	Here are the two mixed use buildings on
16	New Scotland Avenue. The first floor will be
17	retail and it will be residential on five stories
18	above that.
19	And that's a look, that's if you are
20	looking down Morris. So you have the
21	residential, the residential, the parking
22	structure behind and the medical office building
23	behind.

1	And that is the overall description of
2	the project to date. Thank you.
3	MR. GOLDMAN: My name is Paul Goldman
4	for Tri City Rentals. I am not going to repeat
5	what Mr. Rosen has said about the project. The
6	applicant is seeking a PILOT agreement, which is
7	22 years, 2 years for construction period and 20
8	years thereafter with a sliding scale. They are
9	going ask us to pay their share of the existing
10	taxes, and the medical office building is going
11	to pay their share of the existing taxes, so that
12	there will be no net revenue.
13	We are asking for sale tax exemption and
14	mortgage tax exemption and it's a transformative
15	project for the community and, you know, it's a
16	difficult project. We have \$4 million of
17	infrastructure that has to be put in. We have, I
18	think we received two-and-a-half million and
19	interest rates have been going up, but we're
20	committed to the projects.
21	MR. YEVOLI: Thank you, Mr. Goldman.
22	Anyone else to speak on the housing component of
23	the project? Mr. McGovern?

1.	MR. McGOVERN: For the record, I'm
2	Joseph McGovern. I previously forwarded a letter
3	of September 10. I ask that that letter be made
4	part of the record, and to the extent you need an
5	additional copy, I could provide that.
6	MR. YEVOLI: It's part of the record
7	already. Thank you.
8	MR. McGOVERN: I have 30 apartments in
9	Park South and 20 apartments elsewhere in the
10	city. I have some commercial buildings, as well.
11	I would be competing directly with Tri City
12	Rentals, but my properties, obviously, are
13	fair-market value assessed. All my taxes are
14	paid in their entirety.
15	I understand the problem for commercial
16	development. Property taxes are high. And then
17	the question is how do you then create an
18	environment to incentivize commercial
19	development? And I understand. I've been told
20	several times this is the way we do it. I get
21	it. So the problem, and I would submit that to
22	the extent that Tri City is asking for a PILOT,
23	that it be limited to the period of construction.

And in the alternative, the period of the
construction, plus an additional period for full
occupancy, whether that be five years or
something less, because it is going to put not
only myself, but others in the community, of
which probably 80 percent of the properties there
are multifamily units, small operators, so to do
otherwise puts us at a substantial disadvantage.

I've read their economic impact statement. Their market is exactly the market we rent to, that range of approximately 49,000 to 60,000 in gross income. That's hospital workers. These are our tenants, among others. So there is the equity problem and an economic problem. So we are making a tradeoff here and I would just submit that the PILOT ought to be limited to something less than certainly 22 years, and I would again say the period of construction plus a period of per occupancy, at best.

And I also state the obvious, I think, that from a macro standpoint, these kinds of projects are eating the lunch of people in West Hill and elsewhere from a social justice

1	standpoint. It's a real problem. I have
2	properties in West Hill. We don't have
3	sidewalks, we don't have sidewalks, we have
4	buildings falling down, and we are going to be
5	asked now, as taxpayers, to subsidize this
6	program?
7	It's an apartment complex in a highly
8	marketable area. I don't think that it deserves
9	a 22-year PILOT not only from the micro
10	standpoint, but from this broader macro
11	standpoint for these areas that are in need like
12	West Hill. Thank you.
13	MR. YEVOLI: Thank you. Anyone else?
14	MR. McGOVERN: Yes. My name is Michael
15	McGovern. I live at 116 Morris Street in Albany,
16	New York. I'm a property owner and the vice
17	president of the Park South neighborhood
18	association. Thank you for providing me this
19	opportunity to speak.
20	I asked the neighborhood homeowners and
21	real estate developers and businesses to voice
22	their concerns with regard to the proposed Tri
23	City development project, which is part of the

amendment to the original design. It is important to make the distinction between Albany Medical Center, a not-for-profit and Tri City Rentals, a for-profit organization.

The following statement outlines the questions that were raised, most of which concern the proposed PILOT, payment in lieu of taxes.

The issue we in the City of Albany and the Nation confront is the one of financing. As you know, they've asked for a payment in lieu of taxes over a span of 20 years. The principals have stated that they needed to double the scale of the building, increase the amount of units and must have a PILOT in place in order to make the project, quote, financially feasible.

It is fitting and proper that we citizens and all government bodies look at the project as a whole, in that none of the pieces are mutually exclusive. As we know, PILOTs were instituted to offset the enormous loss of tax revenue from not-for-profits such as hospitals and schools, organizations designed to provide a direct benefit to society.

Lately, throughout the United States,
we've seen this model applied to private
for-profit businesses and residential
construction in areas, allegedly, where no
developer would ever want to invest. In return,
we anticipate the creation of jobs, adjoining,
ancillary small businesses and community
benefits.

Does this project by a very large for-profit residential developer meet the litmus test for non-profit tax abatement status? And if so, is 20 years an appropriate length of time? What is their projected net operating income over the life of the exempt status?

Park South residents have argued that the area is no longer run down, because of the investments of small, local owners and developers who have been working here for the past 20 years. It is now an area where new developers would wish to invest. Does the project meet the criteria of New York General Municipal Law 874 with regard to the impact of a proposed project on existing and proposed businesses and economic development

projects in the vicinity, among others?

Boston, as we know, has experienced abuse in these PILOTs and, therefore, a task force was created in order to provide transparency. The Lincoln Institute has done research on Boston and generally PILOTs are around 2 to 5 percent of the tax that would be payable. For example, Harvard pays two million per year, when they would, in fact, owe 40 million in tax, which is about 5 percent of the revenue of taxable. Yet, this is an educational facility and it's Harvard. Northeastern offered only .08 percent. The City of Boston has seemed to settle on a payment of 25 percent of the tax burden as a payment in lieu of taxes, as opposed to the usual 2 to 5 percent.

We've seen an increase in this Pilot form of financing in this area, given the high commercial tax rate, which if increased by 6 percent, as I've heard, will only increase PILOT applications by private businesses. The SUNY one-mile abatement I will incentivize business throughout the state, yet the owners who abut

this area raised legitimate concerns over how they might lease their existing vacant office space while their neighbors receive this tax abatement.

We've seen these PILOTs in downtown

Schenectady and Troy. Businesses that abut these
projects that voice concern that they cannot
compete on a level playing field. How do we
protect them? The small businesses that have
been here in Albany for many years have
shouldered the heavy lift that has created this
county and country. How do we construct a model
that is fair?

The concerns I'm hearing from the owners of office buildings is that new projects also poach their tenants. Many towers downtown currently experience a 50 percent vacancy rate because of this fact. The small retail businesses simply cannot charge higher prices. The small multifamily owner/developers state that if Tri City does not have the financial burden of paying taxes and, therefore, a lower net operating income, they could charge a similar or

1	lower rent as compared to those older and
2	adjoining properties, and, therefore, undercut
3	the market while increasing vacancy rates. If
4	Tri City's tenants get resident parking, they
5	could decide not to utilize the parking garage,
6	park on the street near their units and hurt
7	abutting owners whose tenants will not be able to
8	park on the street. Could upwards of 400 new
9	tenants and, therefore, as many cars be denied
10	resident parking and obligated through their
11	lease to utilize the free parking in the new
12	garage, which is included in the rent?
13	There is a great concern that the local
14	small developers will face increased property tax
15	through assessment and, therefore, in effect,
16	finance the large developer's projects at a cost
17	to themselves. Properties owners who pay
18	property taxes should be protected. Could these
19	PILOTs offered to these large private
20	corporations, you know, be a way for companies to
21	avoid taxes while placing the expense on the
22	working men and women in the middle class of

America?

In Pennsylvania, Minnesota, Kansas and		
Texas, they could veto any abatement of the		
school tax. In Florida, abatements impacting		
school taxes are prohibited. Should our		
children, at the price of their education,		
shoulder the burden? Can the City of Albany,		
with the 60 or perhaps 80 percent of property off		
of the tax rolls, a budget deficit and reduced		
state aid payments continue on this course? Is		
this model tenable?		

The State should contribute more to maintain the Capital buildings and large businesses should desire to contribute with property tax, as this is our largest form of revenue, which provides financing for our terrific historic city, education for our citizens and benefits the country as a whole. The question becomes, is there a way to execute these projects by large developers while protecting the small adjoining businesses and landlords that have been there for many years and have dedicated their time and capital to the area? The small owners merely desire a level

playing field in order to effectively compete.

We understand these projects are difficult and costly, yet should the PILOT only extend to ten years at the most, in order to cover the two-year construction period and eight years for revenue? Most PILOTs seem to run for ten years, not unlike what is stated in New York State Real Property Tax Law 485-a with regard to the Residential, Commercial, Urban Exemptions program.

Association and the Common Council have supported all of the increases to the project in terms of doubling the scale, it does not appear unreasonable to ask for a more conservative PILOT project. Should large corporations, more so, live within their means as we must? Should local multifamily properties have some assurance that their taxes will not go up more than 3 percent per year or perhaps a cap for the duration of the PILOT? Twenty years from now our property taxes will have increased by at least 60 percent. In ten years by 30. Many projects have a tax

abatement because of a 15-year low income component before going to fair market rents.

Do these companies meet the test with regard to new hiring or are these promotions from within? What specifically will be the community benefits to Park South, given the cost of police and fire? Perhaps snow removal, better lighting, improved infrastructure? How do we protect each other's investment? Should there be the creation of a Park South Business Improvement District? I would offer my services to this, free of charge, as vice president of South Park Neighborhood Association, a property manager, a resident and a licensed New York State broker.

It had been said to me that because of the high commercial tax rate in New York that there has been an increase and request for PILOTs and, therefore, a problem has been created that is difficult to correct. For this reason, Boston and New Haven, Connecticut, among others, have spent years looking at PILOTs and utilized a task force to rectify these issues, yet theirs are after the fact.

1	I fervently hope that the State of New
2	York looks closely at the impact before signing
3	off on this issue regarding PILOTs. It is
4	important and critical to incentivize new
5	business in the state and in the United States as
6	a whole, yet it is equally imperative to protect
7	the local businesses and small developers that
8	have been operating here for many years, by
9	providing a level playing field and promoting
10	fairness and striving together to better our
11	communities. Thank you.
12	MR. YEVOLI: Anyone else wish to speak
13	on the housing component of the project?
14	CHAIRMAN: Okay. The next project is
15	the Park South Partnership, L.L.C.
16	MR. YEVOLI: No, we are going to be
17	opening the Capital Resource Corporation
18	Medical I'm sorry, the garage component of the
19	project under the Capital Resource Corporation.
20	So we've done the two IDA ones generally while
21	you were out and we are now on the Capital
22	Resource Corporation.
23	CHAIRMAN. That quick you did them while

1	I was out?
2	Okay. The public hearing of the Albany
3	Industrial Development Agency is closed.
4	(Whereupon the above-entitled matter was
5	concluded at 12:02 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	
5	I, Deborah M. McByrne, a Shorthand Reporter
6	and Notary Public of the State of New York, do hereby
7	certify that the above and foregoing is a true and
8	correct transcript of the proceedings as mentioned in
9	the heading hereof, to the best of my knowledge and
10	belief.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Deborah M. McByrne
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

0	8	12:21 applied [1] - 11:2	burden [3] - 12:15, 13:21, 15:6	8:5, 11:7, 17:5 companies [2] -
			Business [1] - 17:10	14:20, 17:3
08 [1] - 12:13	80 [2] - 8:6, 15:7	appropriate [1] -		compared [1] - 14:1
00 [1] - 12.13	816-car [1] - 3:14	11:12	business [2] - 12:22,	
	1	approved [1] - 3:23	18:5	compete [2] - 13:8,
1	874 [1] - 11:21	architecturally [1] -	businesses [11] -	16:1
	1	4:23	9:21, 11:3, 11:7,	competing [1] - 7:11
10 (1) - 7:3	A	architecture [1] - 5:4	11:23, 12:21, 13:6,	complex [1] - 9:7
• •		area [6] - 9:8, 11:16,	13:9, 13:19, 15:13,	component [4] - 6:22,
116 _[1] - 9:15	4.0	11:19, 12:18, 13:1,	15:20, 18:7	17:2, 18:13, 18:18
11:49 [1] - 1:9	a.m [1] - 1:9	15:23	BY [1] - 2:5	concern [3] - 10:6,
12207 [1] - 1:8	abatement [5] - 11:11,	areas [2] - 9:11, 11:4		13:7, 14:13
12:02 [1] - 19:5	12:22, 13:4, 15:2,	argued [1] - 11:15	С	concerns [3] - 9:22,
135,000-square-foot	17:1	assessed [1] - 7:13		13:1, 13:14
[1] - 3:11	abatements [1] - 15:3	assessment [1] -		concluded [1] - 19:5
15-year [1] - 17:1	able [1] - 14:7		cannot [2] - 13:7,	conditions [1] - 5:11
19 [1] - 1:6	above-entitled [1] -	14:15	13:19	1
• •	19:4	Association [2] -	cap [1] - 16:20	confront [1] - 10:9
2	abuse [1] - 12:3	16:12, 17:13	capital [1] - 15:22	Connecticut [1] -
	abut [2] - 12:23, 13:6	association [1] - 9:18	Capital [5] - 2:3,	17:20
	abutting [1] - 14:7	assurance [1] - 16:18	15:12, 18:17, 18:19,	conservative [1] -
2 [3] - 6:7, 12:7, 12:16	accepted [2] - 3:23,	attached [1] - 3:13	18:21	16:15
20 [5] - 6:7, 7:9, 10:11,	5:2	Avenue [3] - 1:4, 5:12,		construct [1] - 13:12
11:12, 11:18	1	5:16	cars [1] - 14:9	constructed [1] - 2:17
200 [1] - 1:7	additional [3] - 3:19,	avoid [1] - 14:21	Center [6] - 1:4, 2:8,	construction [6] - 6:7
2013 [1] - 1:6	7:5, 8:2		2:17, 3:13, 4:10,	7:23, 8:2, 8:18, 11:4
	adjacent [1] - 2:16	В	10:3	16:5
22 [2] - 6:7, 8:17	adjoining [3] - 11:6,	В	CEO [1] - 1:17	continue [2] - 4:15,
22-year [1] - 9:9	14:2, 15:20		certainly [1] - 8:17	15:9
25 [1] - 12:14	Agency [1] - 19:3	balance [1] - 4:13	certify [1] - 20:7	
268 [1] - 3:21	AGENCY [1] - 1:1	becomes [1] - 15:18	CFO [1] - 1:18	continuing [1] - 5:3
	agreement [1] - 6:6	behalf [1] - 2:7	CHAIRMAN [3] - 1:12,	contribute [2] - 15:11
3	aid [1] - 15:9	1	18:14, 18:23	15:13
		behind [3] - 3:18,	· ·	copy [1] - 7:5
	ALBANY [1] - 1:1	5:22, 5:23	charge [3] - 13:19,	Corporation [4] - 2:4,
3 [1] - 16:19	Albany [11] - 1:4, 1:8,	belief[1] - 20:10	13:23, 17:11	18:17, 18:19, 18:22
30 [2] - 7:8, 16:23	2:8, 3:12, 4:9, 9:15,	benefit [1] - 10:23	CHEVALIER [1] - 1:20	CORPORATION [1] -
391 [1] - 1:4	10:2, 10:8, 13:10,	benefits [3] - 11:8,	children [1] - 15:5	1:19
	15:6, 19:2	15:17, 17:6	citizens [2] - 10:17,	corporations [2] -
4	allegedly [1] - 11:4	best [2] - 8:19, 20:9	15:17	14:20, 16:16
	allowed [2] - 3:1, 3:5	better [2] - 17:7, 18:10	City [10] - 4:11, 6:4,	correct [2] - 17:19,
	alternative [1] - 8:1	between [2] - 3:18,	7:11, 7:22, 9:23,	
4 [1] - 6:16	amendment [1] - 10:1	10:2	10:3, 10:8, 12:13,	20:8
10 [1] - 12:9	amendments [1] -	billing [1] - 3:12	13:21, 15:6	cost [2] - 14:16, 17:6
400 [1] - 14:8	2:23	blocks [2] - 2:15, 3:16	CITY [1] - 1:1	costly [1] - 16:3
185-a [1] - 16:8	America [1] - 14:23		city [2] - 7:10, 15:16	Council [5] - 2:22, 4:1
19,000 [1] - 8:11		Board [1] - 2:11		5:2, 5:9, 16:12
re, vov (i) = 0. 1 i	amount[1] - 10:13	bodies [1] - 10:17	City's [1] - 14:4	COUNSEL [2] - 1:19,
	ancillary [1] - 11:7	Boston [4] - 12:2,	class [1] - 14:22	1:22
5	ANTHONY [2] - 1:12,	12:6, 12:13, 17:19	closed [1] - 19:3	country [2] - 13:12,
	1:15	Boulevard [1] - 1:7	closely (1) - 18:2	15:17
5 ra1 - 10·7 10·10	anticipate [1] - 11:6	BRADLEY [1] - 1:20	Columbia [1] - 2:6	county [1] - 13:12
5[3] - 12:7, 12:10,	apartment [1] - 9:7	broader [1] - 9:10	combination [1] - 3:4	course [1] - 15:9
12:16	apartments [2] - 7:8,	broker [1] - 17:14	commercial [5] - 7:10,	cover[1] - 16:5
60 [1] - 13:17	7:9	budget [1] - 15:8	7:15, 7:18, 12:19,	
_	appear [1] - 16:14	building [8] - 3:13,	17:16	create [1] - 7:17
6	applicant [4] - 2:8,	T	Commercial [1] - 16:9	created [3] - 12:4,
	1 ' '	3:17, 3:18, 4:5, 4:19,		13:11, 17:18
10.15	4:10, 4:12, 6:6	5:22, 6:10, 10:13	committed [1] - 6:20	creation [2] - 11:6,
[1] - 12:19	applicants [1] - 4:9	buildings [7] - 3:3,	Common [5] - 2:21,	17:9
60 [2] - 15:7, 16:22	application [3] - 4:5,	4:12, 5:15, 7:10, 9:4,	4:1, 5:2, 5:9, 16:12	criteria [1] - 11:20
60,000 [1] - 8:12	4:6, 4:7	13:15, 15:12	communities [1] -	critical [1] - 18:4
	applications [4] -	built [1] - 2:18	18:11	
	2:10, 4:4, 4:16,	bulk [1] - 5:2	community [4] - 6:15,	
		win [r] * 0.4		THE STATE OF THE S

D	E	feasible [1] - 10:15 FERRARA [1] - 1:12	height [1] - 3:20 Henry [1] - 1:7	11:17 issue [2] - 10:8, 18:3
		1		issues [1] - 17:22
m 0:40 0:00	eating [1] - 8:22	fervently [1] - 18:1	hereby [1] - 20:6	ISSUES [1] - 17.22
)ana [3] - 2:19, 2:20,	_ · · ·	field [3] - 13:8, 16:1,	hereof [1] - 20:9	
3:19	ECK [1] - 1:14	18:9	high [3] - 7:16, 12:18,	J
ARIUS [1] - 1:16	economic [3] - 8:9,	finance [1] - 14:16	17:16	
ate [2] - 5:14, 6:2	8:14, 11:23	financial (1) - 13:21	higher[1] - 13:19	1.1
eborah [2] - 20:5,	ECONOMIC [2] - 1:20,	financially [1] - 10:15	highly [1] - 9:7	jobs [1] - 11:6
20:15	1:21	financing [3] - 10:9,	Hill [3] - 8:23, 9:2,	JOHN [1] - 1:19
ecember (1) - 1:6	education [2] - 15:5,	12:18, 15:15	9:12	Johnson [1] - 1:7
ecide [1] - 14:5	15:16	fire [1] - 17:7	hiring [1] - 17:4	Joseph [1] - 7:2
edicated [1] - 15:22	educational [1] -			JOSEPH [1] - 1:22
• •	12:11	first [2] - 3:7, 5:16	historic [1] - 15:16	justice [1] - 8:23
eficit [1] - 15:8		fitting [1] - 10:16	homeowners [1] -	,
emand [1] - 3:15	effect [1] - 14:15	five [3] - 3:10, 5:17,	9:20	K
enied [1] - 14 :9	effectively [1] - 16:1	8:3	hope [1] - 18:1	I N
epartment [1] - 5:5	eight [1] - 16:5	floor [2] - 3:7, 5:16	hospital [1] - 8:12	
escription [1] - 6:1	elsewhere [2] - 7:9,	Florida [1] - 15:3	hospitals [1] - 10:21	Kansas [1] - 15:1
eserves [1] - 9:8	8:23	following [2] - 3:2,	HOUSING [1] - 1:4	kind [2] - 2:8, 5:10
esign (1) - 10:1	enormous [1] - 10:20	10:5	housing [3] - 2:2,	
esigned [2] - 5:1,	entire [1] - 2:12		6:22, 18:13	kinds [1] - 8:21
10:22	entirety [1] - 7:14	for-profit [3] - 10:4,		knowledge [1] - 20:9
		11:3, 11:10	hurt [1] - 14:6	
esire [2] - 15:13,	entitled [1] - 19:4	force [2] - 12:4, 17:22		L
15:23	environment [1] - 7:18	foregoing [1] - 20:7		
EVELOPER [1] -	equally [1] - 18:6	form [2] - 12:18, 15:14		-
1:20	equity [1] - 8:14	forward [1] - 5:3		L.L.C [1] - 18:15
eveloper [2] - 11:5,	ERIK [1] - 1:18	forwarded [1] - 7:2	IDA [4] - 2:3, 4:3, 4:16,	landlords [1] - 15:21
11:10	ESQ[1] - 1:22	four [2] - 3:5, 3:8	18:20	large [7] - 2:9, 11:9,
eveloper's [1] -	estate [1] - 9:21		III [1] - 1:22	14:16, 14:19, 15:12
14:16		free [2] - 14:11, 17:11	impact [3] - 8:9,	15:19, 16:16
	exactly [1] - 8:10	full [1] - 8:2	11:22, 18:2	
evelopers [6] - 9:21,	example [1] - 12:8		impacting [1] - 15:3	largest [1] - 15:14
11:17, 11:19, 14:14,	exclusive [1] - 10:19	G		lately [1] - 11:1
15:19, 18:7	excuse [1] - 2:19		imperative [1] - 18:6	Law [2] - 11:21, 16:8
evelopment [2] -	execute [1] - 15:18		important [2] - 10:2,	lease [2] - 13:2, 14:1
2:7, 19:3	exempt [1] - 11:14	garage [3] - 14:5,	18:4	least [1] - 16:22
EVELOPMENT [2] -	exemption [3] - 4:17,	14:12, 18:18	imposing [1] - 5:12	LEE [1] - 1:14
1:1, 1:21	6:13, 6:14	General [1] - 11:21	improved [1] - 17:8	legitimate [1] - 13:1
evelopment [4] -		generally [2] - 12:6,	Improvement [1] -	length [1] - 11:12
	Exemptions [1] - 16:9	18:20	17:10	
7:16, 7:19, 9:23,	existing [5] - 5:11,		incentivize [3] - 7:18,	less [2] - 8:4, 8:17
11:23	6:9, 6:11, 11:22,	Given [1] - 16:11		letter[2] - 7:2, 7:3
ifferent [1] - 2:14	13:2	given [2] - 12:18, 17:6	12:22, 18:4	level [3] - 13:8, 15:23
ifficult [3] - 6:16,	expense [1] - 14:21	GOLDMAN [1] - 6:3	included [1] - 14:12	18:9
16:3, 17:19	experience [1] - 13:17	Goldman [2] - 6:3,	income [4] - 8:12,	licensed [1] - 17:14
irect [1] - 10:23	experienced [1] - 12:2	6:21	11:13, 13:23, 17:1	lieu [3] - 10:7, 10:10,
irectly [1] - 7:11		government[1] -	increase [5] - 3:21,	12:15
IRECTOR [1] - 1:21	explanations [1] -	10:17	10:13, 12:17, 12:20,	
	2:14	great [1] - 14:13	17:17	life [1] - 11:14
isadvantage [1] - 8:8	extend [1] - 16:4		increased [3] - 12:19,	lift [1] - 13:11
stinction [1] - 10:2	extent [2] - 7:4, 7:22	gross [1] - 8:12		lighting [1] - 17:7
istrict [1] - 17:10			14:14, 16:22	limited [2] - 7:23, 8:1
one [3] - 5:13, 12:5,	F	H	increases [1] - 16:13	Lincoln [1] - 12:5
18:20			Increasing [1] - 14:3	litmus [1] - 11:10
ouble [1] - 10:12		1 16 2 .42	Industrial [1] - 19:3	live [2] - 9:15, 16:17
oubling [1] - 16:14	face [1] - 14:14	half [1] - 6:18	INDUSTRIAL [1] - 1:1	LLC[1] - 1:3
own [3] - 5:20, 9:4,	facility [1] - 12:12	Harvard [2] - 12:8,	infrastructure [2] -	
	fact [3] - 12:9, 13:18,	12:12	6:17, 17:8	local [4] - 11:17,
11:16	17:23	Haven [1] - 17:20	Institute [1] - 12:5	14:13, 16:17, 18:7
owntown [2] - 13:5,		heading [1] - 20:9		located [1] - 2:16
13:16	fair [3] - 7:13, 13:13,	heard [1] - 12:20	instituted [1] - 10:20	look [4] - 5:3, 5:7,
uration [1] - 16:20	17:2		interest [1] - 6:19	5:19, 10:17
	fair-market [1] - 7:13	hearing [3] - 2:4,	invest [2] - 11:5, 11:20	looking [4] - 2:9, 5:7,
	fairness [1] - 18:10	13:14, 19:2	investment [1] - 17:9	5:20, 17:21
	falling [1] - 9:4	heavy [1] - 13:11	investments [1] -	0.20, 17.21
	Taining 11 - 9.4	110007 [1]	myesunemsiii-	1

(518) 478-7220

looks (1) - 18:2 loss (1) - 10:20 low (1) - 17:1 lower (2) - 13:22, 14:1 lunch (1) - 8:22

M

macro [2] - 8:21, 9:10 maintain [1] - 15:12 manager[1] - 17:13 market [5] - 7:13, 8:10, 14:3, 17:2 marketable [1] - 9:8 matter [1] - 19:4 McByrne [2] - 20:5, 20:15 McGovern [6] - 6:23, 7:1, 7:2, 7:8, 9:14, 9:15 means [1] - 16:17 Medical [7] - 1:4, 2:8, 2:16, 3:12, 4:9, 10:3, 18:18 medical [7] - 3:11, 3:13, 3:16, 4:5, 4:18, 5:22, 6:10 meet [3] - 11:10, 11:20, 17:3 **MEETING** [1] - 1:2 **MEMBER** [3] - 1:14, 1:15, 1:16 men [1] - 14:22 mentioned [1] - 20:8 merely [1] - 15:23 Michael [1] - 9:14 MICHAEL [1] - 1:17 micro [1] - 9:9 middle [1] - 14:22 might [1] - 13:2 mile [1] - 12:22 million [4] - 6:16, 6:18, 12:8, 12:10 Minnesota [1] - 15:1 mixed [4] - 3:3, 3:18, 4:12, 5:15 MOB [1] - 4:10 model [3] - 11:2, 13:12, 15:10 modifying [1] - 2:22 morning [1] - 2:6 Morris [5] - 2:19, 3:18, 5:20, 9:15 mortgage [2] - 4:17, 6:14 most [3] - 10:6, 16:4, 16:6 moving [1] - 3:10 MR [11] - 2:1, 2:5, 6:3,

6:21, 7:1, 7:6, 7:8, 9:13, 9:14, 18:12, 18:16

multifamily [3] - 8:7, 13:20, 16:18

Municipal [1] - 11:21

must [2] - 10:13, 16:17

mutually [1] - 10:19

Myrtle [2] - 1:4, 2:19

N

name [3] - 2:6, 6:3,

9:14 Nation [1] - 10:8 near[1] - 14:6 need [2] - 7:4, 9:11 needed [1] - 10:12 Neighbor [1] - 16:11 neighborhood [2] -9:17, 9:20 Neighborhood [1] -17:12 neighbors [1] - 13:3 net [3] - 6:12, 11:13, 13:22 new [9] - 2:17, 4:23, 5:12, 11:19, 13:15, 14:8, 14:11, 17:4, 18:4 New [13] - 1:8, 2:18, 2:20, 5:12, 5:16, 9:16, 11:21, 16:7, 17:14, 17:16, 17:20, 18:1, 20:6 next (2) - 2:1, 18:14 non[1] - 11:11 non-profit [1] - 11:11 none [1] - 10:18 north [1] - 5:8 northeastern [1] -12:12 not-for-profit [1] -10:3 not-for-profits [1] -10:21 Notary [1] - 20:6

0

obligated [1] - 14:10 obvious [1] - 8:20 obviously [2] - 5:8, 7:12 occupancy [2] - 8:3, 8:19 OF [2] - 1:1, 1:21

offer[1] - 17:11 offered [2] - 12:12, 14:19 office [9] - 3:11, 3:13, 3:17, 4:5, 4:18, 5:22, 6:10, 13:2, 13:15 offset [1] - 10:20 older[1] - 14:1 one [3] - 2:9, 10:9, 12:22 one-mile [1] - 12:22 ones [1] - 18:20 open [1] - 2:1 opening [1] - 18:17 operating [3] - 11:13, 13:23, 18:8 operators [1] - 8:7 opportunity [1] - 9:19 opposed [1] - 12:15 order [4] - 10:14, 12:4, 16:1. 16:4 organization [1] - 10:4 organizations [1] -10:22 original [5] - 2:22, 3:1, 3:6, 3:22, 10:1 otherwise [1] - 8:8 ought[1] - 8:16 outlines [1] - 10:5 overall [1] - 6:1 overview [1] - 2:12 owe [1] - 12:9 **OWENS** (1] - 1:15 owned [1] - 3:12 owner [1] - 9:16 owner/developers [1] - 13:20 owners [6] - 11:17, 12:23, 13:14, 14:7, 14:17, 15:23

P

p.m [1] - 19:5 paid [1] - 7:14 Park [11] - 1:3, 2:16, 3:22, 7:9, 9:17, 11:15, 16:11, 17:6, 17:10, 17:12, 18:15 park [2] - 14:6, 14:8 parking [12] - 3:14, 3:15, 3:17, 4:6, 4:11, 4:19, 4:23, 5:21, 14:4, 14:5, 14:10, 14:11 part [3] - 7:4, 7:6, 9:23 Partners [1] - 1:3 Partnership [1] -18:15

past [1] - 11:18 Paul [1] - 6:3 pay [3] - 6:9, 6:11, 14:17 payable [1] - 12:8 paving [1] - 13:22 payment [4] - 10:7, 10:10, 12:14, 12:15 payments [1] - 15:9 pays [1] - 12:8 PEDO [1] - 1:13 Pennsylvania [1] -15:1 people [1] - 8:22 per [3] - 8:19, 12:9, 16:20 percent [11] - 8:6, 12:7, 12:10, 12:13, 12:14, 12:16, 12:20, 13:17, 15:7, 16:19, 16:22 perhaps [3] - 15:7, 16:20, 17:7 period [7] - 6:7, 7:23, 8:1, 8:2, 8:18, 8:19, 16:5 picture [1] - 5:11 pieces [1] - 10:18 Pilot [1] - 12:17 PILOT [10] - 6:6, 7:22, 8:16, 9:9, 10:7, 10:14, 12:20, 16:3, 16:15, 16:21 PILOTs [10] - 4:18, 10:19, 12:3, 12:6, 13:5, 14:19, 16:6, 17:17, 17:21, 18:3 place [1] - 10:14 placing [1] - 14:21 plan [2] - 3:6, 3:23 Plan [2] - 2:23, 3:1 Planning [1] - 5:4 playing [3] - 13:8, 16:1, 18:9 plus [2] - 8:2, 8:18 poach [1] - 13:16 police [1] - 17:6 PRESENTATION[1] -2:5 president [2] - 9:17, 17:12 previously [1] - 7:2 price [1] - 15:5 prices [1] - 13:19 principals [1] - 10:11 private [3] - 11:2, 12:21, 14:19 problem [6] - 7:15, 7:21, 8:14, 9:1,

proceedings [1] - 20:8 process [2] - 4:2, 5:9 profit [5] - 10:3, 10:4, 11:3, 11:10, 11:11 profits [1] - 10:21 program [2] - 9:6, 16:10 prohibited [1] - 15:4 project [20] - 2:2, 2:9, 2:13, 5:13, 6:2, 6:5, 6:15, 6:16, 6:23, 9:23, 10:15, 10:18, 11:9, 11:20, 11:22, 16:13, 16:16, 18:13, 18:14, 18:19 projected [1] - 11:13 projects [9] - 6:20, 8:22, 12:1, 13:7, 13:15, 14:16, 15:19, 16:2, 16:23 promoting [1] - 18:9 promotions [1] - 17:4 proper [1] - 10:16 properties [6] - 7:12, 8:6, 9:2, 14:2, 14:17, 16:18 Property [1] - 16:8 property [8] - 7:16, 9:16. 14:14. 14:18. 15:7, 15:14, 16:21, 17:13 proposed [4] - 9:22, 10:7, 11:22, 11:23 protect [3] - 13:9, 17:8, 18:6 protected [1] - 14:18 protecting [1] - 15:20 provide [3] - 7:5, 10:22, 12:4 provides [1] - 15:15 providing [2] - 9:18, 18:9 PUBLIC [1] - 1:2 public [1] - 19:2 Public [1] - 20:6 put [2] - 6:17, 8:4 puts [1] - 8:8

Q

questions [2] - 4:14, 10:6 quick [2] - 2:12, 18:23 quickly [1] - 4:21 quote [1] - 10:15

17:18

R raised [2] - 10:6, 13:1 range [1] - 8:11 rate [3] - 12:19, 13:17, 17:16 rates [2] - 6:19, 14:3 **RE**[1] - 1:3 read [1] - 8:9 Real [1] - 16:8 real [3] - 4:21, 9:1, 9:21 reason [1] - 17:19 receive [1] - 13:3 received [1] - 6:18 recently [1] - 5:1 record [3] - 7:1, 7:4, 7:6 recording [1] - 4:17 rectify [1] - 17:22 reduced [1] - 15:8 regard [4] - 9:22, 11:21, 16:8, 17:4 regarding [1] - 18:3 **REGINELLI** [1] - 1:21 **REILLY** [1] - 1:19 removal [1] - 17:7 renewal [1] - 3:23 Renewal [2] - 2:22, rent [3] - 8:11, 14:1, 14:12 Rental [1] - 4:11 Rentals [3] - 6:4, 7:12, 10:4 rents [1] - 17:2 repeat [1] - 6:4 Reporter [1] - 20:5 request [2] - 4:3, 17:17

research [1] - 12:6

resident [3] - 14:4,

Residential [1] - 16:9

residential [12] - 3:4,

residents [1] - 11:15

18:17, 18:19, 18:22

Resource [4] - 2:4,

retail [6] - 3:4, 3:7,

3:9, 5:17, 13:18

revenue [5] - 6:12,

10:21, 12:11, 15:15,

return [1] - 11:5

16:6

Rick [1] - 2:6

Robin [1] - 2:20

3:8, 3:19, 4:8, 4:13,

4:20, 5:6, 5:17, 5:21,

14:10, 17:13

11:3, 11:10

rolls [1] - 15:8 room [1] - 4:22 **ROSEN** [1] - 2:5 Rosen [2] - 2:6, 6:5 roughly [2] - 2:18, 3:14 S

run [2] - 11:16, 16:6 sale [1] - 6:13 sales [1] - 4:17 **SARAH** [1] - 1:21 scale [3] - 6:8, 10:12, 16:14 Schenectady [1] -13:6 school [2] - 15:3, 15:4 schools [1] - 10:22 Scotland [4] - 2:18, 2:20, 5:12, 5:16 SCOTT[1] - 1:22 SECRETARY [1] -1:13 seeking [1] - 6:6 seem [1] - 16:6 SENIOR [1] - 1:20 separate [2] - 2:10, 4:4 September[1] - 7:3 services [1] - 17:11 settle [1] - 12:14 several [1] - 7:20 **SHAHINFAR** [1] - 1:16 share [2] - 6:9, 6:11 Shorthand [1] - 20:5 shoulder[1] - 15:6 shouldered [1] - 13:11 showing [2] - 3:21, 5:10 sidewalks [2] - 9:3

signing [1] - 18:2

similar [1] - 13:23

simply [1] - 13:19

sliding [1] - 6:8

15:23, 18:7

SMITH [1] - 1:18

snow [1] - 17:7

social [1] - 8:23

sorry [1] - 18:18

society [1] - 10:23

3:22, 7:9, 9:17,

South [11] - 1:3, 2:16,

11:15, 16:11, 17:6,

17:10, 17:12, 18:15

six [3] - 3:6, 3:9, 3:14

small [10] - 8:7, 11:7,

11:17, 13:9, 13:18,

13:20, 14:14, 15:20,

space [1] - 13:3 span [1] - 10:11 specifically [1] - 17:5 spent [1] - 17:21 standpoint [5] - 4:2, 8:21, 9:1, 9:10, 9:11 state [5] - 8:20, 12:23, 13:20, 15:9, 18:5 State [5] - 15:11, 16:8, 17:14, 18:1, 20:6 statement [2] - 8:10. 10:5 States [2] - 11:1, 18:5 status [2] - 11:11, 11:14 stories [6] - 3:5, 3:6, 3:8, 3:9, 3:20, 5:17 story [2] - 3:11, 3:14 street [2] - 14:6, 14:8 Street [1] - 9:15 striving [1] - 18:10 structure [8] - 3:15, 3:17, 4:7, 4:11, 4:19, 5:1, 5:4, 5:22 **structures** [1] - 5:12 studies [1] - 5:10 study [1] - 5:2 stuff[1] - 4:22 submit [2] - 7:21, 8:16 subsidize [1] - 9:5 substantial [1] - 8:8 SUNY [1] - 12:21 support [1] - 3:15 supported [1] - 16:12 SUSAN [1] - 1:13

Т

task [2] - 12:3, 17:21 Tax [1] - 16:8 tax [17] - 4:17, 6:13, 6:14, 10:20, 11:11, 12:7, 12:10, 12:14, 12:19, 13:3, 14:14, 15:3, 15:8, 15:14, 16:23, 17:16 taxable [1] - 12:11 taxes [13] - 6:10, 6:11, 7:13, 7:16, 10:7, 10:10, 12:15, 13:22, 14:18, 14:21, 15:4, 16:19, 16:21 taxpayers [1] - 9:5 ten [3] - 16:4, 16:7, 16:23 tenable [1] - 15:10 tenants [5] - 8:13, 13:16, 14:4, 14:7, 14:9

terms [1] - 16:13 terrific [1] - 15:16 test [2] - 11:11, 17:3 Texas [1] - 15:2 theirs [1] - 17:22 themselves [1] - 14:17 thereafter [1] - 6:8 therefore [6] - 12:3, 13:22, 14:2, 14:9, 14:15, 17:18 they've [1] - 10:10 three [4] - 2:10, 2:23, 3:20, 4:4 throughout [2] - 11:1, 12:23 today [1] - 2:7 together [1] - 18:10 tower[1] - 2:17 towers [1] - 13:16 tradeoff [1] - 8:15 transcript [1] - 20:8 transformative [1] -6:14 transparency [1] -12:5 Tri [8] - 4:11, 6:4, 7:11, 7:22, 9:22, 10:3, 13:21, 14:4 Troy [1] - 13:6 true [1] - 20:7 twenty [1] - 16:21 two [8] - 2:15, 3:3, 4:9, 5:15, 6:18, 12:8, 16:5, 18:20 two-and-a-half [1] -6:18

U

two-year[1] - 16:5

under[1] - 18:19 undercut [1] - 14:2 United [2] - 11:1, 18:5 units [9] - 3:19, 3:21, 3:22, 4:8, 4:20, 5:7, 8:7, 10:13, 14:6 unlike [1] - 16:7 unreasonable [1] -16:15 up [5] - 2:1, 2:13, 3:9, 6:19, 16:19 upwards [1] - 14:8 Urban [3] - 2:22, 3:1, 16:9 urban [1] - 3:22 usual [1] - 12:16 utilize [2] - 14:5, 14:11 utilized [1] - 17:21

V

vacancy [2] - 13:17, 14:3 vacant[1] - 13:2 value [1] - 7:13 veto [1] - 15:2 vice [2] - 9:16, 17:12 vicinity [1] - 12:1 view [1] - 5:7 visual [2] - 5:6, 5:10 voice [2] - 9:21, 13:7

W

West [3] - 8:22, 9:2, 9:12 whole [4] - 4:8, 10:18, 15:17, 18:6 wish [2] - 11:19, 18:12 women [1] - 14:22 workers [1] - 8:12

Υ

year [3] - 12:9, 16:5, 16:20 years [17] - 6:7, 6:8, 8:3, 8:17, 10:11, 11:12, 11:18, 13:10, 15:21, 16:4, 16:6, 16:7, 16:21, 16:23, 17:21, 18:8 YEVOLI [7] - 1:17, 2:1, 6:21, 7:6, 9:13, 18:12, 18:16 York [8] - 1:8, 9:16, 11:21, 16:7, 17:14, 17:16, 18:2, 20:6

M-F Reporting, Inc. -(518) 478-7220

McGOVERN LAW OFFICE

5 Wilson Street

Albany, New York 12207

518-427-2933/330-5680 joe@jmcgovernlaw.com

September 5, 2013

City of Albany
Industrial Development Corporation
21 Lodge Street,
Albany, NY 12207

Re: Park South Development- AMC, Columbia Development and Tri-City Rentals

Gentlemen:

This office represents several property owners in the Park South Neighborhood within the area defined by the Urban Renewal Plan. I am also the owner of 30 apartment units in Park South. The buildings in Park South largely consist of multi-family apartment buildings. During the past decade property owners have aggressively fought to rid the neighborhood of crime and urban blight. Owners have purchased vacant buildings and returned them to the tax rolls. As a result of their efforts, coupled with Albany Medical Center development, civility and rents have increased. Park South continues to improve with investment in infrastructure and existing housing stock.

Albany Medical Center, Columbia Development and Tri-City Rentals are proposing a 110 Million Dollar commercial/residential project on New Scotland, Myrtle, Morris and Dana Avenue. The project proposes construction of upwards of 230 residential apartments. It is our further understanding that Columbia Development and Tri City Rentals will make application to the IDC for a Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) thereby removing the properties from the

property tax roll. The payment of a PILOT represents a small fraction of the fair market value (FMV) of the property normally subject to full valuation taxation. The present and future adverse financial impact on City finances of tax exempt and PILOT properties is well documented.

We submit that the use of a PILOT for the Park South development proposal is not only unnecessary, based on the marketability of the property, but fundamentally unfair and inequitable to existing property owners. The marketability of the property is clearly evident being closely associated with AMC, Washington Park, Lark Street and neighboring colleges.

If a PILOT was granted Park South property owners would be providing a subsidy to this privately owned commercial enterprise. Local apartment owners paying taxes based on FMV would be at a competitive disadvantage paying higher taxes on older properties without such amenities as off street parking. After the completion of the Park South development, existing properties may experience an increase in FMV and concomitant property tax increase adding insult to injury. Also the development would naturally drive demand for public services increasing an overall need for revenue.

Park South property owners within the urban renewal plan have long endured disinvestment and urban blight. The property owners propose several potential resolutions so as to offset the inequities. If a PILOT is approved existing property assessments for properties within the Urban Renewal Plan would be reduced proportionally to that of the PILOT properties for the period of the PILOT. In the alternative present assessments could be frozen at existing levels for the period of PILOT. Also a percentage of the PILOT could be directed to projects within the urban renewal area. These issues must be discussed and property owners offered a seat at the table.

We ask that no action be taken on the application pending resolution.

Very truly yours,

Joseph McGovern, Esq.

Park South Partners LLC, Albany Medical Center - 391 Myrtle Avenue (MOB) Sign-in Sheet Date: December 19, 2013

SIGN-IN

Name	Affiliation/Organization	Are you going to speak? Y/N
1. KICHARIS KOSEN	Columbia Dev	
2. Jes Ocegns	Tie Ody Gentals	
3. Ta Mcharen		X
4. Ph. K. H. Govern		Jes
S. WERT CHOSELA	THE STATE OF THE S	The Age
ċ		
7.		
8.		
.6	ā	
10.		